

Comparative Indigenous Solidarity: Applied Research Insights for Organizational Design

Executive Summary

Native Americans have consistently stood with poignant Palestinian solidarity, demanding recognition, cultural awareness, justice, and ongoing ceasefire. Founded in profound collective experiences with colonial expulsion, dehumanizing treatment, a steadfast defiance, and an unbreakable resilience, Indigenous activists across the board, bridge the struggle to oppose cultural appropriation, misinformation, subverting perceptions, land grabs, and environmental militarization.

Comparative scholarship across Indigenous American and Palestinian contexts has revealed parallel frameworks in dispossession, cultural and linguistic erasure, societal marginalization, and perseverance-instituted civic organizing (Alfred & Corntassel, 2005; Wolfe, 2006; Makdisi, 2025). Despite substantial differing political contexts, applied research has denoted significant corresponding strategies to maintain cultural endurance, advocate for autonomy, and identify youth-centered potential.

This brief translates those findings into operational considerations for a potential formal initiative highlighting Native American–Palestinian solidarity grounded in dignity, nonviolence, and research-based programming.

Core Research Findings

1. Land as Identity Infrastructure

Scholarship within Indigenous Studies has consistently identified land as beyond physical territory and instead as a correlated element of identity, governance, and endurance (Simpson, 2014; Coulthard, 2014). Palestinian scholarship similarly defines their land as a key aspect of collective memory and political consciousness (Sa'di & Abu-Lughod, 2007).

Operational Insight: Programs with a cultural preservation focus, either language revitalization, oral history archives, or land stewardship, tend to generate a far more widespread validity than those frameworks that exist in solely geopolitical terms. An initiative for solidarity should illuminate projects of cultural resilience as fundamental pillars.

2. Legal Pluralism and Sovereignty Navigation

Indigenous nations function within layered federal, state, and tribal jurisdictional systems (Wilkins & Lomawaima, 2001). Palestinians navigate a very similar overlapping legal cross-territorial system. (Khalidi, 2020).

Operational Insight: With this in mind, a solidarity organization should establish a coordinated knowledge exchange focused on governance, international law literacy, and rights-based advocacy. Workshops and advancing programs should increasingly accentuate the comparable strategies of navigation and sovereignty to instill a practical structural value that would move beyond symbolic regulation.

3. Cultural Preservation as Civic Resistance

Community narratives, and digital storytelling have long been archived as tools of perseverance with both communities. (Christen, 2012). Digital ethnographic research suggests that interactive, and collective cultural conservation notably enhances psychological agency and intergenerational continuity.

Operational Insight: Shared digital repositories – particularly those showcasing youth-created oral histories, cultural narratives, identity, and memory projects, significantly improve both the preservation infrastructure and civic educational fluency.

4. Youth Political Socialization

Multiple case studies have signified that both Indigenous and Palestinian youth present acutely heightened political identity, cultural awareness, and connection to collective memory under protracted marginalization (Kanaaneh, 2002). Yet, both groups are also remarkably more open to cross-cultural, intersectional coalitions, specifically in relation to ecological justice within frameworks of indigenous rights. (Navot et. al, 2025)

Operational Insight: An alliance model of youth fellowship centered on civic leadership, digital storytelling, and cross-cultural exchange would likely forge extensive long-term impact and relational capital.

Proposed Organizational Framework

Stemming from the comparative findings, a formal, research-informed Indigenous American-Palestinian Solidarity Organization should include the following:

1. A **Research & Policy Unit**, focused on:

- Comparative policy briefs based around sovereignty, land rights, and cultural governance.
- International advocacy materials grounded in legal scholarship.

2. A **Cultural Continuity Initiative**, focused on:

- Joint digital archiving projects.
- Language and heritage preservation exchanges.

3. A **Youth Civic Leadership Alliance**, focused on:

- Cross-community dialogue cohorts.
- Applied training in nonviolent civic advocacy.

4. A **Monitoring, Evaluation & Learning (MEL) framework**, to track and measure:

- The indicators of intercultural literacy, civic confidence, and policy engagement.
- Longitudinal participant outcomes.

Ultimately, the base framework should establish a research-informed mission to advance principled, nonviolent solidarity between Indigenous American and Palestinian communities through comparative research, cultural preservation, youth leadership, and rights-based policy engagement rooted in dignity, sovereignty, and mutual respect.

Conclusion

Organizational designs that are effectively built with methodological rigor, cultural sensitivity, and clearly articulated governance principles, will engender formidable solidarity when anchored in shared civic infrastructure rather than abstract political alignment. Given that comparative research studies do not erase contextual difference, the clarity they provide to the common framework is where the legitimate potential lies. Informing ethical coalition-building with ethnographic scholarship and measurable program operations, solidarity initiatives *can* genuinely move from symbolic alignment to sustainable impact.

References:

- Alfred, T., & Corntassel, J. (2005). "Being indigenous: Resurgences against contemporary colonialism." *Government and Opposition*, 40(4), 597–614. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-7053.2005.00166.x>
- Bailey, K. (2019, Nov.,). "Toward collective liberation." *Palestine Legal*. <https://palestinelegal.org/news/2019/11/27/towards-collective-liberation>
- Baroud, R. (2016, Sep., 13). "The native American, the Palestinian: A spirited fight for justice." *Middle East Monitor*. <https://www.middleeastmonitor.com/20160913-the-native-american-the-palestinian-a-spirited-fight-for-justice/>
- Christen, K. (2012) "Does information really want to be free? Indigenous knowledge and the politics of open access," *The International Journal of Communication* 6, 2870–93. <https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/viewFile/1618/828>
- Coulthard, G. S. (2014). "Red skin, white masks." In *Indigenous Americas*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Ghaddar, J.J. (2025). "Palestine as provenance: Archiving against genocide from Gaza to South Lebanon" (Jabal Amil). *Arch Sci* 25(20) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-025-09484-y>
- Hawari, Y & Amara, A. (2019, Aug., 18). "Using indigeneity in the struggle for Palestinian liberation." *Al Shabaka*. <https://al-shabaka.org/commentaries/using-indigeneity-in-the-struggle-for-palestinian-liberation/>
- Kanaaneh, R. & Ashrawi, H. (2002). *Birthing the Nation: Strategies of Palestinian Women in Israel*. University of California Press
- Kadi, A. (2016, Sep., 10). "Palestinians back Standing Rock Sioux in "struggle for all humanity" *The Electronic Intifada*. <https://electronicintifada.net/blogs/andrew-kadi/palestinians-back-standing-rock-sioux-struggle-all-humanity>
- Khalidi, R. (2020). *The Hundred Years' War on Palestine: A History of Settler Colonialism and Resistance, 1917–2017*. Metropolitan Books.
- Makdisi, U. (2025). "Beyond the Palestine exception." *Critical Times*.8 (1): 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1215/26410478-11626921>
- Navot, D., Khalaile, M., Seh, S., & Swaid, S. (2025). "What do indigenous parties want? The case of Palestinian citizens of Israel." *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2025.2490189>
- Salaita, S. (2017). "American Indian studies and Palestine solidarity: The importance of impetuous definitions." *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society*, 6(1), 1–28. <https://jps.library.utoronto.ca/index.php/des/article/view/28900>
- Sa'di, A. H., & Abu-Lughod, L. (2007). *Nakba: Palestine, 1948, and the Claims of Memory*. Columbia University Press
- Simpson, L. (2014). "Land as pedagogy: Nishnaabeg intelligence and rebellious transformation." *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society*. 3(3). <https://jps.library.utoronto.ca/index.php/des/article/view/22170>
- Wilkins, D. & Lomawaima, K. T. (2002). *Uneven Ground: American Indian Sovereignty and Federal Law*. University of Oklahoma Press
- Wolfe, P. (2006). "Settler colonialism and the elimination of the native." *Journal of Genocide Research*, 8(4), 387–409. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623520601056240>